

MARX ON MONEY AND VALUE:
SUZANNE DE BRUNHOFF AND
AUGUSTO GRAZIANI

Riccardo Bellofiore (University of Bergamo, retired)

Marx on money (and value)

- **peripheral** for a very long time, with exceptions
- **revival of interest:** the 1970s
- anticipated by **book on money** by Suzanne de Brunhoff (1967)

- 1. **Schumpeterian distinctions** in *History of Economic Analysis*
- 2. de Brunhoff on Marx on money as **universal equivalent** as final validation of private labours producing commodities: **the 1960s**
- 3. de Brunhoff & *Primo Maggio*: the **early 1970s break**
- 4. de Brunhoff's book on the **State & economic policy: 1976**
- 5. **Graziani on Marx on 'money as capital'**: finance to production (1983)



Real Analysis

- Real Analysis proceeds from the principle that all the essential phenomena of economic life are capable of being described in terms of goods and services, of decisions about them, and of relations between them.
- **Money** enters the picture only in the modest role of a **technical device** that has been adopted in order to **facilitate transactions**.

Monetary analysis

- Monetary Analysis introduces the element of **money on the very ground floor of our analytic structure**
- and abandons the idea that all the essential features of economic life can be represented by a barter-economy model.
- essential features of the capitalist process may depend upon the 'veil' and that the 'face behind it' is incomplete without it.

Logic vs History

- Theoretical Metallism vs Theoretical Cartalism
- **Theoretical Metallism** is untenable: not true that, **as a matter of pure logic**, money **essentially** is backed by commodities whose exchange value are logical basis of their value as money.
- **confusion between the historical origin of money** - in very many cases some commodities, being particularly salable, came to be used as the medium of exchange – **and its nature or logic [essence]** – which is **entirely independent** of the commodity character of its material.
- **error occuring frequently in social analysis**: primitive forms of social institutions may be **more complex** than modern ones and that they may **hide, rather than reveal**, logical essentials: Marx on anatomy of the ape!

Monetary Theory of Credit

- It is an analysis beginning from a state of things in which **legal-tender, 'money', is the only means of paying and lending.**
- The **huge system of credits and debits**, of claims and debts, by which capitalist society carries on its daily business of **production and consumption is then built up**, step by step, by **introducing claims to money or credit instruments that act as substitutes for legal tender.**
- Everything that happens in the sphere of **currency, credit, and banking** is construed **from money**, just as the case of **money itself is construed from barter.**

Credit Theory of Money

- **historically**, this method is readily understandable.
- **logically**, it is by no means clear that the most useful method is to start from the **coin** – or **inconvertible government paper** – in order to proceed to the credit transactions of reality.
- it may be more useful to start from capitalist finance as a clearing system that cancels claims and debts and carries forward the differences.
- 'money' payments come in only as a special case.

MARX ON
BY SUZANNE

MONEY
DE BRUNHOFF



Preface by Duncan Foley

A General Theory of Money

- ***La monnaie chez Marx***: the issue is **not** metallism (or ‘money as a commodity’ [vs. Ricardo]). **NB: 1967**, Bretton Woods /dollar-gold

- rather, the **explanation** of the economic basis for existence of money – as **Measure of value**; as **Means of circulation**; as **Means of payment**; as **Hoarding**: money as “object of a specific demand” – must be sought in a **general** theory of money

- a capitalist theory of money must be subsumed under a theory which is **valid for every monetary economy**

- a capitalist economy is necessarily a monetary economy

- a monetary economy is **not** necessarily a capitalist economy

Anticipation of main argument

- a monetary theory of credit involves hoarding
- impossible to analyse real equilibria abstracting from money
- monetary analysis

Functions of Money

- simple **commodity** form is the germ of **money** form: **Universal Equivalent**, which permits the monetary **post-validation** in **final** commodity exchange
- **Measure of Value (MV) & Means of Circulation (MC)** do **not** require money as a commodity to be present 'in person': **'Money as money' will!**
 - MV: the given 'value of money' is **fixed through barter** / but money has no price; futility of search for 'invariable' measure of value
 - the **standard of price** (the '**money name**' of a gold amount) is **fixed by convention** (State)
- comms have **price tags before actual circulation**:
 - **from** comms **to** money
 - as MV money is IDEAL money ('value as form')
 - 'homogeneising' a given amount of labour contained ('value as content')

Anti-Quantity Theory

- Ideal money must actualises into real money: **money as MC**

- labour is inner measure of value **because** of the value exhibition (expression) in money as excluded commodity exterior measure of value.

- prices defines the quantity of MC actually circulating from stock of money (vs. Quantity Theory: hoarding)

- Gold in person, as soon as it 'appears', immediately disappears.

- **Money** (*Geld*) vs **Currency** (*Münze*): functional, **dematerialisation**

- still, through representatives, true value of gold determines circulation
- what about value-less State fiat paper and banknotes? (exogeneity)
- *NB*: for de Brunhoff State paper fiat inconvertible money is **true** money, coins are **symbols** of gold

Money as Money

- in **Hoarding** (H), the demand for money as money, **money as a commodity is essential** again: neither merely ideal (MV), nor capable of being substituted by a representative actor (MC); borderline btw money & credit
 - demand for M as M / gold => money as long as it **does not circulate!**
- with **Means of Payment** (MP), settling bilateral (im)balances in IOUs, money as money **is essential in circulation**
- as **Universal Money** (UM) money as money is present as the **unique form of wealth, as bullion**. International payment and Crisis.

Themes which follows

- regulation of money (& currency) supply not entirely political; **State power genuine** but **subject to monetary constraints**
- power of the State is **limited** by: other States + private individuals
- **Monetary Policy: role of universal equivalent to be preserved as money ground of capitalist credit and international transactions**

Financing and reproduction

- Capital is a theory of the monetary economy, **not** a monetary theory of the economy
 - credit system is built upon the monetary system: Marx's is a 'monetary theory of credit' => dB on **capitalist finance & reproduction**
- Finance (F): acts as **ante-validation** of capitalist production; but capital must **return in monetary form** at the end
 - F is first **advanced** in the 'cycle of money-capital': M-C ... P ... C'-M
 - 'closing' the circuit; no specific problem just technical financial proportions (vs. Luxemburg)
 - of little importance which kind of money is used
 - 'Financial role' of hoarding

*Rejection of Two Temptations**

- the method followed in *Capital* defines itself negatively by the **rejection of 2 temptations**:
- (i) a **purely monetary analysis** which would make **credit** only a **surface expression** of an eternal monetary essence,
- (ii) a **purely financial analysis** which would examine credit **solely in terms of the capitalist economy**

- Financial capital = money K (fraction of total K) functioning autonomously to provide financing to functioning capitalists
- **unitary notion of credit** (markets, credit institutions => banking) ->

*Interest-Bearing Capital**

- Financial mkt (long-term loans) + Monetary mkt (bank credit)
- fundamental **unity** of the **market for monetary resources**
 - \leq from trade in gold and in foreign exchange
- **Price of money** = interest rate on money (**a commodity lent** as potential capital)
- Interest-bearing capital; monetary **conventional determination of i** (share of sv)
- **Banking** as (closed!) circuit **substitute hoarding**: functional/contradictory
 - **monetisation** of debts + **advance** of money capital (**creates deposits**, on **reserves**)
 - **special** financial intermediary: “only the bank can settle credit transactions in cash and put means of payment into circulation”
 - **like circulating metallic money credit money is thus dematerialised**
- **capitalisation** of stream of revenue into sums of money
 - **Fictitious capital**: fictitious = independent (+ not real) capital
 - Banking assets, Public debt, even stocks (speculative) => ‘**parasitic**’

*Hoarding and Crisis**

- **Money** (gold or banknotes alike) as **object of hoarding**
- **Banks also hoard**: may refuse to lend, hoarding “**ties** up the credit system, but **preserves** the credit of the banking system”
- Banks unleash or increase **financial panic**. Monetary policy may **ease**.
- But this is not true in world crisis: the **return of gold** – ‘real reversal’
- **Crisis** => “**sudden change of the credit system into a monetary system**” (KM). Contraction of the functions of money in one: hoarding.
- “The antithesis between commodities and their value form, money, becomes heightened into an **absolute contradiction**”: the **form** in which this famine is felt is **irrelevant**. (KM)

Banking Policy and State Money Power

- intrinsic limits of 'good' credit/money policy: it can avert bankruptcy for a while, but **cannot** eliminate it, because of the **crisis of confidence** it may engender or **speculative activity** it stimulates
- => no monetary policy can abolish the economic causes of financial stress
- Central Bank, is semi-private, but is **part of State apparatus**
 - its room for manoeuvre is limited by the **monetary base** of the credit system
 - to retain **credibility** of money as means of circulation **CB needs to preserve hoarding as a condition of the survival of the *monetary system***

*Postfaces (1975) **

- 1° edition, 1967; 2° edition: 1973; 3° ed. 1976

- 1971, **collapse of Bretton Woods** / 1973-74: return of **'great' capitalist crisis** after the 1930s Great Crash / 1974-1976: stagflation

- Leitmotif: **Crisis management meets objective constraints**, making Marx still relevant.

- **universal equivalent:** (i) for commodities; (ii) important among which forms of currency and money can be exchanged; (iii) grants the articulation for standard of price, means of exchange, store of value

- two separations: (i) among private producers; (ii) production vs. consumption: **final social validation** => the realisation crisis is the money form of crisis (**not** necessarily the fundamental cause)

Postfaces (2)

- (prior) financing: [bank] credit as anticipation of validation, ante-validation ('as if' commodities will be sold)
- from the polarity commodity/money to that btw credit/money
 - in the **XIXth**: realisation crisis => credit crisis => banks' failures
 - **1930s**: CB money as **inconvertible money** (no further validation): pseudo-validation at the national level
 - after WW2: temporary 'breakdown' turns into **inflationary crisis**, revealing disjunction of money as value-sign versus 'effective'-value; moderate inflation allows to overcome the difficulty
 - **1971-74**: acceleration of inflation + financial crisis + unemployment
 - it marks the end of **US hegemony** based on rapid increase of s/v
 - economic policy as class reaction, rather than management of the economy: frontal attack against wage and employment

Marx and contemporary monetary theory (2006)

- after 1971, it was abandoned the relation of the ‘value of money’ to the labour-value of money as a commodity

- decline of the Gold Standard
- demonetisation of gold
- gold replaced by State debt
- the supremacy of the dollar is based on US power

- **still relevant insights**

- money as **post-validating**: it is the **socially accepted universal equivalent**
- **role in commodification of labour-power** => money wage, exploitation

- “In modern capitalist economies the [anti-quantity theory mechanism, from price to money supply] is **the banking system**”

- **Marx’ approach is highly compatible with CB supplying reserves endogenously**

Marx and contemporary money policy

- money and monetary policy are the terrain of **class struggle**
- **acomodation vs. inflation targeting** (as surplus value targeting)
- **hierarchy** of money, '**consensus**': but **clash between great currencies** (wrong anticipation about the crisis)
- -----
- Chapter with Foley in Arestis-Sawyer: early 2000s?

State, capital and ‘economic policy’

- State’s economic role is **always** essential/external + immanent
 - from the beginning of capitalism, the State manages money and labour power as very ‘peculiar’ commodities
 - ‘monetary policy’: illusion of control, reality of **endogeneity**
 - since the 1930s, a qualitative change: birth of ‘economic policy’: State [macro] intervention targeting **‘global’** economic realities, including relation btw money and labour power
- Dialogue with *Primo Maggio*: socialised labour versus State as collective capitalist.
 - Limit of *operaismo*: **subjectivism**, i.e. **denying the constraints to political intervention due to the given objective social relationships**
 - forms of intervention are located within norms of *régulation*, defined by institutions + resulting from class conflict/compromise (Aglietta)

*State, capital and 'economic policy'**

- Thesis:
 - the smooth working of the circuit of capital needed
 - changes which the capitalist class couldn't enforce on its own,
 - as well as compromises which it could not achieve directly.
 - with the New Deal's policies supporting employment and workers' purchasing power, and with Keynesianism, the State eventually was involved
 - not only in regulating money and labour power separately,
 - but in the very relation connecting money to labour power

*State management of labour power**

- **despotism** of the factory, **discipline** of the labour mkt
 - **insecurity** of employment
- there is never a 'pure' capitalism
 - **non capitalist** institutions are needed to secure reproduction of LP and to minimise the '**specifically proletarian risk**', but without impairing accumulation
 - the dominant class can guarantee economic hegemony only through public organisation
- dialectic btw **potential unity and division** in the proletariat: poverty, stratifications
 - the right to work is incompatible with capitalist command: there is no institutionally guaranteed employment
 - rights to social insurance is played vs. right to welfare

The two books must be read together

- Anglo-Saxon debate (and others?) mostly focuses **only** on her 1967 book on money (without the 1975 postface!)
- 1976 book on *State, capital and economic policy* (tr. In 1979)
 - deepens in fundamental way her appraisal of monetary mechanism
 - ground and enlarge her theoretical understanding of capitalism.

*State management of money**

- reproduction of UE by the State: **external + immanent** (just like the management of LP)
 - UE is something 'in-between' money and credit: monetary policy aims at preserving the 'monetary constraint'
 - money is minted and issued by the State – but money is never 'created by the State'
- the monetary constraint is safeguarded through the monetary pyramid: private credit money, national currency, international money
 - private credit money provides social validation, but national currencies must be mutually convertible for that validation to be effective)
 - international money: nowadays it is **a continually deferred promise to pay** (there does **not** exist anymore a true monetary 'final' settlement)
 - crucial interplay of all the 3 levels

*Evolution of central banking**

- (i) formation of centralised banking systems
- (ii) elimination of gold from national circulation
- (iii) elimination of gold from international circulation

- competition btw private banks **disaggregated the national market**
 - circa 1850, the weight of the crisis fell upon private credit: capitalist restructuring without undermining the national currency
- from 1930s, **gold exchange standard and inconvertibility**:
 - irredemable legal tender / from private pre-validation to pseudo-social validation: it means **loosening the money/commodity link**
- (managed) floating exchange rates:
 - gives way to **fragmentation of international transactions**

About Banking*

- CB money: thanks to that, the symbols of value by private banks are ‘translated into’ symbols of national value – **but** they need to be set against a universal standard of measurement
- the ‘State’ management of money is always **implicit**
- **centralisation** of interest-bearing money capital is impossible without **concentration** of the management of the means of payment **at the national level**

*Versus Hilferding**

- **finance capital: dual nature**
 - **money capital lent** to an industry (rate of interest)
 - (Hilferding) **banking capital transformed into industrial capital**; SdB very critical
- capitalist commodity circulation: implies **'final' settlements**, and this requires the **centralised management of MP provided by the banking system**
- to be distinguished from the form of **centralisation of money capital through investment/disinvestment of assets** concerning control over industrial capital
- the banking system is the **strategic sector** of the credit system, because it **combines the management of means of payment and of 'money capital'**.
- *what about 'finance' to production?*

*Endogeneity of money supply**

- **from** convertibility of credit money into gold **to** convertibility of bank money into national currency + possibility of banks to increase their loans.
 - 'regulation' under CB as lender of last resort
 - but **tendency to generalised over-indebtedness**
- **the 'strategic' sector can evade the ratios imposed by CB and regulation**
- the reproduction of money as universal equivalent remains essential
- the control of the money supply relative to a given level of demand is impossible: **endogeneity of Ms.**
- management of money by CBs relates to the articulation within the different forms of money: the national currency within private bank credit and international money

*Economic Policy: New Deal**

- State as subject? *Operaismo*: ‘piano del capitale [totale]’ (Panzieri) [total capital plan] => ‘Stato-piano’ [planner State] (Negri)
 - it IS an ideology; rather, look at social regulations and State’s practice
- **new type of State intervention** since the 1930s
 - the working of the capital circuit required changes which the capitalist class **couldn’t enforce on its own**, as well as **compromises which it cannot achieve directly**
 - **New Deal**: employment, purchasing power of the workers
 - with it, and with **Keynesianism**, now **the State is also involved in the relation btw money and labour power.**

*The birth of Economic Policy**

- **‘Economic policy’** is a **new** historically phenomenon, it didn’t existed as such before
 - **preconditions:**
 - **nominal wage as exogenous +** the working class envisaged as **economic subject**, but controlled by the State
 - this requires **high employment policies**
 - both **sustain consumption**
 - reorganisation of State’s financial apparatus + **irredeemable currency**
 - hence: theory of the capitalist process as **sequence** of monetary flows
 - State: impersonal power ‘machine’
 - opposed to Fascism/Nazism

*Criticism of Keynes (& Kalecki)**

- Keynesianism (+ Kalecki) **abolishes**
 - qualitative difference between money and commodities
 - the theory of value and exchange value
 - the concept of the value of labour power (w as only distributive)
 - the **actual content of social demand is irrelevant** (State money income and State expenditure are 'like any other')
 - Paul Mattick: **pseudo**-markets [production vs. capitalist pr.]
 - **ante**-validation => **pseudo**-validation => **inflationary** bias
- still forced to maintain a dialectics between
 - **social** [macro] **pseudo-validation** (Keynes)
 - the permanent existence of **market-based constraints and sanctions**

*Economic Policy in the 1960s & early 1970s**

- Monetary Policy, Fiscal Policy, Social Policy: may be 'disjointed'
- US, since the mid-1960s: different policy mix; juxtaposition of different economic policies; rigidity of public expenditure
- outcome: **loosening of fiscal constraints + tightening of monetary constraints**
- 1971: change in policy about the management of the dollar in the **global arena**
- **floating exchange rate** (Kaldor: X drives I, at the expense of C) **transforms the international monetary sanction**
 - results depend on the overall situation of the country + class balance of forces

Economic Policy: the discussion with Primo Maggio*

- Italy, journal *Primo Maggio*:
 - Bank of Italy, direct class character of its intervention
 - inflation/devaluation/compression of real wages
 - monetary tightening as recession policy to defeat workers' struggles
 - austerity campaign since 1974-1975
 - workers' partial control of labour power independent of capitalist command
 - dB's criticism: functionalist view, which loses the reality of the market-based constraints and the objectivity of crisis

Economic Policy: the metarphoses of the State

- not disappearance, but **maintainance** (metamorphosis?) of the State's capacity for economic intervention
- States cannot be completely privatised: **every bourgeois strategy requires a State to answer needs of capital which capital cannot meet directly**
- the State may change, or take **different forms**, but it does not disappear because **capital always requires its existence.**
- this is now true also for 'economic policy': social conflict + crisis => **perpetuate the domination of capital** (produce unity of bourgeoisie, division of proletariat): **relative 'autonomy of the State'**

Marx et l'économie politique (1976)

- Commodity, money and credit are the bourgeois precondition of the development of capital:
 - from them it is not possible to deduce surplus value, but they are essential to understand how the valorisation process based on the exploitation of labour is actually going on.
- Equilibrium approach (Neoclassicals), Commodity approach (from capital to **commodity/distribution**), Monetary approach (from capital to **power/domination**)
 - all are unable to understand properly labour exploitation, the 'dangerous' leap, the role of the State



“A pregnant observation by Karl Marx”

- "The distinction between a **co-operative economy** and **entrepreneur economy** bears some relation to a pregnant observation made by Karl Marx, though the subsequent use to which he put this observation was highly illogical. He pointed out that the **nature of production** in the **actual world** is **not**, as economists seem to suppose, **a case of C-M-C'**, of exchanging commodity (or effort) for money in order to obtain another commodity (or effort). That may be **the standpoint of the private consumer**. But it is not the **attitude of business**, which is **a case of M-C-M'**, i.e. of parting with money for commodity (or effort) in order to obtain more money.”
- (Keynes, CW 1971)

A monetary theory of capitalist production

- ‘Circuit’ or ‘cycle’ [Kreislau] of money-capital: a monetary sequence through successive stages
- Two social relations: ‘capital & labour’ vs ‘commodity circulation’
 - class divide btw capitalists and workers: buying & selling of labour power as **initial ‘opening’ exchange**, introducing production (flux => reflux)
 - internal exchanges among capitalists, **on the’ final’ commodity market**
- “Difficult to deal with money within one general theoretical framework”
 - in **the first** money is **not** a commodity: it is **pure credit** (in a trilateral relation)
 - in the **second** it can be found a “**valid, if limited, place for commodity money** as intermediary of exchanges”
- Money is the ‘universal equivalent’ but also a **means for realising social capitalist relations (macro-class command over ‘labour’ by capitalists)**

Money as finance or store of value*

- capitalists pursue the acquisition of **money as (abstract) wealth in general**
 - but in truth capitalists pursue **value**, of which money is **only one form**
 - in the **expanded spiral** of the entire cycle of capital
- in two cases, however, **money** can be **desired for itself**
 - (i) as a means to set in motion the productive process [flow]: **finance**
 - (ii) as a final form of wealth [stock]: **hoarding**
 - because of **uncertainty** there **may be** an accumulation of liquidity
- **initial exchange**: ‘money as capital’; money is a **debt** which ‘functioning capitalists’ owe to financiers
- **phase of circulation**: firms exchange among themselves or sell to the consumers; money as intermediary of exchange; money as **earning**
- in KI, chs. 1-3 + in KIII Marx analyses either **money as wealth** or **money in final circulation**. Not money as [ante-validating] the K-L exchange

Simple vs capitalist society

- in a hypothetical '**simple**' **society** the first exchange does not exist: **production can take place without any prior exchange**
- 'a unified and general theory of money applicable to any type of society does not and cannot exist'. Fn: 'The [opposite] statement by de Brunhoff ... should be understood in the sense that **the characteristics of money exchange proper to the phase of circulation are also encountered in non capitalist societies.**'
- corollary: the **only** true monetary economy with **generalised** exchange is capitalism, where banks finance firms' wage bill: looking at the capitalist class as a unified whole, intra-class transactions cancels each other out
- if money were itself a commodity, 'the process would be turned upside down' / Benetti-Cartelier: 'If money is a commodity from the very beginning, we are back to barter – if money is credit, its value is undefined'

Different solutions to the issue of what is the nature of money in the various phases*

- **in circulation, money** may well be **‘one commodity among others’**
 - the commodity **best suited** as an intermediary in exchanges
 - but it can be **substituted** by means of promissory notes (**IOUs**)
 - the **Mengerian story** of an historical process of selection describes the emergence of money in a simple society [i.e., a generalised barter]
- when the **(capitalist) production of commodities begins, money as a commodity cannot exist (before *its* production)**
 - money is generalised purchasing power, without being a commodity
 - it is valid and accepted promise of payment (credit) [=>of a 3° party!]
 - real wages are paid post-factum

Money as Marx saw it

- **industrial capitalists needs purchasing power, not gold as money**

- but is not 'money as a commodity' the only true money? the only form of money capable of effecting definitive payments? only definitive money?
- **these** parts of Marx's analysis concern the **practice of money markets**: he does **not** analyse a **'pure' capitalist system***, where the only way money can flow into the circuit is through the [bank] financing of capitalist production
 - (**nota bene*: the 'good abstraction' from where to begin vs MMT, PK, other circuit.)
- he rather looks at functions of money in an **open economy with the State**
 - where private money circulation intermeshes with the circulation of State money (either metal or paper)
 - the **State** establishes **legal money as dominant**, through taxes (Sismondi)
- **in an open economy there is the problem of international settlements**: we are in the phase of circulation, **so 'money' may well be gold**

Metal money and bilateral credit*

- it is certainly true that metal money is the only definitive money 'if the money is legal money and if, **by virtue of international accords**, the only money accepted in international payment is gold. But if we wish to try **to reconstruct Marx's thought on money**, we must probe beyond his explicit arguments to those aspects of his thought that refer to the case of **pure capitalism** in which only the forces of capital and labor are present'.
- Marx defines **credit the authentic money of capitalism**: (i) **commercial** (IOUs among firms); (ii) via an **intermediary** (banks multiplying reserves); (iii) **created ex nihilo** (banks in their capacity to create means of payment)
- this credit is analysed in final circulation. it is **endogenous**. Any amount of comms could be circulated through honoured promissory notes: 'credit is a quite sufficient means of payment for a pure capitalist system to function.'
- **if all accounts are in balance, metal money is unnecessary**

*Wage and the value of money**

- **money wages** are paid in **advance** (vs. 'realism')
- **real wages** are obtained **ex post**, after living labour power has worked
- in a 'household' (or 'simple') economy the value of money is set as any other commodity (proportional to the labour contained) – but this is barter!
 - there may exist a demand for liquid reserves to be held
 - in a capitalist economy money is not similarly required as a permanent acquisition, rather as a **temporary loan** for the production cycle
- **dual 'price' of money:**
 - **btw financier and entrepreneur: the interest rate.** Nothing to do with labour content.
 - **btw entrepreneur and the market:** in a macro-circuit setting, what regulates it is the value of the labour power [bank finance => wage bill]

The value of (bank-)credit money

- **Money as capital:**

- in the **opening** phase of the circuit, 'money is **exchanged against one commodity only and that commodity is labour power**'.
 - the only price is the **price of labour power**: how it is determined the wage? At a class level the wage is **regulated by the labour contained in the [subsistence] wage goods => the (labour-)value of money capital is the labour contained in the real wage of the working class.**
 - surplus value (**valorisation**) can **only** arise from the use of labour-power, since this is the only **external exchange**; the formation of [new] value comes from the [living] labour spent into the (net) product.
 - = 'number of hours of labour in real wages lower than the number of hours contained in the product achieved'
- in the final circulation (**closure**): only **redistribution of labour hours**
- for the capitalist class the utilisation of fixed capital is not a cost

Money and Crisis

- the **sequence of exchanges may be broken** if somebody sell without buying afterwards: **interruption in the chain of exchanges** (vs. Say's Law)
- => 'liquidity preference' => demand declines
 - why? (i) uncertainty, behind which (ii) deep-rooted reasons in the social structure
- **3 ways** of looking at this:
 - **Keynes' *General Theory***: constant money supply, liquidity preference, some firms do not recover outlays, **failure of the circuit to 'close'**
 - **French monetary circuitists**: not so much for liquidity preference, firms decide to reduce the level of their productive active, **failure to open the circuit**
 - **Marx: a break in the circuit**; an approach which embraces the first two
- for AG not radically opposed, there is **not** a substantial theoretical difference

FB page: *Economisti di Classe*
Riccardo Bellofiore (with Giovanna Vertova)

